

CCST Report Assesses the Long-Term Viability of Underground Natural Gas Storage in California

SACRAMENTO, Calif. | January 18, 2018

CONTACT: Amber Mace, ambermace@ccst.us, Office: (916)492-0996, Cell: (510) 326-0685

The **California Council on Science and Technology (CCST)** has issued the report, “Long-Term Viability of Underground Natural Gas Storage in California: An Independent Review of Scientific and Technical Information,” along with an executive summary and a summary report. All are available for download at this link: http://ccst.us/projects/natural_gas_storage/publications.php.

Credentialed media can attend a press briefing webinar on the report findings scheduled for 10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. Pacific Time, today, Thursday, January 18; please RSVP to media@ccst.org to receive call-in instructions. A CCST Expert Briefing for the Capitol community will be held later today from noon to 1:30 p.m. Pacific Time, in California State Capitol Room 112.

In response to Governor Brown’s January 2016 state of emergency proclamation regarding the Aliso Canyon gas leak, Senate Bill 826 (Leno, 2016) requested that CCST provide the State with up-to-date information on all currently operating underground natural gas storage fields in California. CCST was instructed to provide an independent technical assessment answering three key questions about:

- 1. The risks California’s underground gas storage facilities pose to health, safety, environment, and infrastructure;**
- 2. Whether California needs underground gas storage to provide for energy reliability through 2020; and**
- 3. How implementation of California’s climate policies changes the future need for underground gas storage.**

Jens Birkholzer, PhD, Director of the Energy Geosciences Division at the U.S. Department of Energy’s Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and Jane C.S. Long, PhD, an independent scientific consultant, served as co-chairs of the 12-member CCST Report Steering Committee — which supervised 21 Report Authors with expertise spanning hydrogeology and reservoir engineering, risk assessment, public and occupational health, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and energy analysis and economics. Each report chapter was subject to a peer-review process by independent experts, while another independent expert served as Report Monitor to oversee the process, ensuring that peer-review comments were sufficiently addressed in the final report. An additional Oversight Committee reviewed the entire process, including conflict-of-interest declarations.

“The risks of underground gas storage can be mitigated through appropriate and sensible regulation. The new State regulations coming into effect this year will greatly reduce the likelihood of future gas storage well blowouts,” says Jens Birkholzer.

“California should lead the way in developing a complete assessment of future energy systems that will maintain California’s economic and environmental health with energy that is both clean and reliable,” says Jane Long. “Our report highlights the need to make energy reliability a central part of future climate plans.”

The report's findings and conclusions are based on a review of published literature and official and voluntary databases, which the Report Authors compiled between January through September 2017. Key findings and conclusions include:

Safety: The risks associated with underground natural gas storage (UGS) facilities can be managed, and, with appropriate regulation and safety management, may become comparable to risks in other types of energy facilities found acceptable in California, such as oil refineries and natural gas power plants. At each UGS facility, the State should ensure timely and thorough implementation of the new regulations coming into force in 2018 set by the California Department of Conservation's Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR). Those regulations emphasize new and safer well completions, risk and safety management plans, and requirements for well integrity testing and monitoring. The report recommends that the State go further and require more quantitative risk assessment activities, including consideration of human and organizational factors affecting risk. The State should also implement an independent and mandatory review program to evaluate the effectiveness of these new regulations and the rigor of their application in practice, with opportunity for public comment and public dissemination of the review results.

Facility-by-Facility Evaluation: Any industrial operation involves some risk to health, safety, and environment. This report assessed various risk-related characteristics across UGS facilities in California, and found a small list of facilities had relatively higher risk compared to others.

Reliability of Natural Gas Supply: California's energy system currently requires natural gas and UGS facilities to run reliably, primarily because many residential and commercial buildings in California rely on natural gas for heating during the winter, and because natural gas provides electricity when solar and wind power are not available. The peak demand for natural gas during the winter currently exceeds the ability of pipelines to bring natural gas into the State of California, so natural gas must be stored during periods of low demand in order to have it available to meet peak demand.

Near-Term Alternatives to Underground Gas Storage: Closing any or all UGS facilities in the near term would involve replacing UGS facilities with new pipelines or natural gas storage capacity, and require very large investments. Such new natural-gas-related infrastructure would bring its own risks and would further obligate the State to the use of natural gas for decades. The risks, costs, and benefits associated with alternatives to UGS storage should be evaluated accordingly.

Long-Term Need for Underground Gas Storage: California's climate policies in future decades could still necessitate the continued use of natural gas. Also, energy systems that meet the climate goals may require underground storage of natural gas, biogas, or hydrogen, as well as sequestration of carbon dioxide. The State should develop a more complete and integrated plan to understand how the role of natural gas might evolve; assess possible energy portfolios that both meet GHG emission constraints and achieve energy reliability; and consider the potential need for UGS facilities in the future.

Modeled after the National Research Council, which responds to the United States government, CCST responds to requests from California's State Legislature, the Governor, and State entities, and provides expert advice from California's scientists and research institutions on public policy issues involving science and technology.

"CCST convened a wide range of experts who can translate scientific data into nuanced, real-world assessments that inform policy, and not just give one-dimensional answers to a multifaceted question like underground natural gas storage," says CCST Deputy Director Amber Mace, PhD. "This report draws on diverse expertise, a balance of perspectives, and a rigorous process to deliver a science-based assessment that will inform State deliberations on this complex public policy issue."

###

About the California Council on Science and Technology

The California Council on Science and Technology is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization established via the California State Legislature in 1988. CCST engages leading experts in science and technology to advise state policymakers – ensuring that California policy is strengthened and informed by scientific knowledge, research, and innovation. Find CCST on Facebook at facebook.com/ccstorg, on Twitter [@CCSTorg](https://twitter.com/CCSTorg), and on [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ccst). Discover how CCST makes California’s policies stronger with science at www.ccst.us.

Underground Natural Gas Storage Project Page:

http://ccst.us/projects/natural_gas_storage/index2.php

Speakers Scheduled for January 18 Press Webinar and Capitol Briefing:

Amber Mace, PhD
Deputy Director
California Council on Science and Technology

Jens T. Birkholzer, PhD
Director and Senior Scientist
Energy Geosciences Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
U.S. Department of Energy

Jane C.S. Long, PhD
Independent Scientific Consultant

Curtis M. Oldenburg, PhD
Geological Senior Scientist
Energy Geosciences Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
U.S. Department of Energy

Jeffery B. Greenblatt, PhD
Staff Scientist
Energy Analysis and Environmental Impacts Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
U.S. Department of Energy